

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/004,151	11/02/2001	Michael A. Jones	5217.61003	8529
7	7590 01/21/2004		EXAMINER	
Durando Birdwell & Janke, P.L.C. 2929 E. Broadway Blvd.			LU, JIPING	
Tucson, AZ 85716			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3749	
			DATE MAILED: 01/21/200	DATE MAILED: 01/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ye				
, <del>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </del>	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/004,151	JONES, MICHAEL A.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE of this communication con	Jiping Lu	3749				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/2	<u>2/01 &amp; 7/19/02</u> .					
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-51 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 27-51 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> </ul>						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.						
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office		<del></del>				

Application/Control Number: 10/004,151

Art Unit: 3749

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-26 are, drawn to a calcinations plant, classified in class 432, subclass 58.
  - II. Claims 27-51 are, drawn to an operation of calcinations plant, classified in class106, subclass 761.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the claimed process can be carried out by other apparatus, e.g. grain storage silo or fluidized bed separator. It is noted that method claims do not require any "calcinations reactor" and "means for effecting transport of the particulate feed material" in accordance with supporting specification under 35 USC 112, 6<sup>th</sup> paragraph. Also, process claims 33, 36, 43 do not require any "fluidized feed stream".
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3749

- 5. Claims 1-26 are held to be constructively elected.
- 6. Claims 27-51 are withdrawn from consideration as being held to be constructively non-elected. See 37 CFR 1.176 and MPEP § 1450.

## Reissue Applications

- 7. The original patent, or a statement as to loss or inaccessibility of the original patent, must be received before this reissue application can be allowed. See 37 CFR 1.178.
- 8. The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective (see 37 CFR 1.175 and MPEP § 1414) because of the following: it failed to provide each inventor's residence address.
- 9. Claims 1-26 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251 as set forth above. See 37 CFR 1.175.

The nature of the defect(s) in the declaration is set forth in the discussion above in this Office action.

10. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1), a supplemental reissue oath/declaration under 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1) must be received before this reissue application can be allowed.

Claims 1-26 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251. See 37 CFR 1.175. The nature of the defect is set forth above.

Receipt of an appropriate supplemental oath/declaration under 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1) will overcome this rejection under 35 U.S.C. 251. An example of acceptable language to be used in the supplemental oath/declaration is as follows:

"Every error in the patent which was corrected in the present reissue application, and is not covered by a prior oath/declaration submitted in this application, arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant."

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 12. Claims 20-22, 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Makris et al. (U. S. Pat. 5,713,734).

Makris et al. disclose a calcination plant comprising a calcination reactor 4 and means 2 for effecting transport of the particulate feed material through the calcinations reactor along a substantially cyclonic flow path and a burner 2 same as claimed.

13. Claims 20-22, 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nishida et al. (U. S. Pat. 3,881,862).

Nishida et al. disclose a calcination plant comprising a calcination reactor 11 and means 2A-2D for effecting transport of the particulate feed material through the calcinations reactor along a substantially cyclonic flow path and a burner 14 same as claimed.

#### Conclusion

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jiping Lu whose telephone number is 703-308-2354. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/004,151

Art Unit: 3749

151 Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on 703 308-1935. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-1113.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3749

J. L.